

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/876.179	06/13/97	LEE	В	P54596	cH
MM12 ROBERT E BUSHNELL ATTORNEY-AT-LAW SUITE 300		MM12/1001	GUSHI, R		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1522 K STREE	1522 K STREET. N.W.		2833		LO
WASHINGTON DC 20005-1202) 2 ^		DATE MAILED: 10/01/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/876,179 Applicant(s)

Lee

2833

Examiner

Gushi, Ross

Group Art Unit

Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 12, 1999</u>	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal min accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;	; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time 37 CFR 1.136(a).	d within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims are	subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review,	, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to be a filed on is/are objected to be a filed on is/are objected to be a filed on is/are objected on	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	□approved □disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35	USC 8 119(a)-(d)
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prior	
	nty documents have seen
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Names)	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
_ Notice of informative control of the control of t	

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon reconsideration, the prosecution on the merits of the present application is reopened. The finality of the prior Office action is withdrawn. The Amendment Under 37 CFR Section 1.116(b), filed 4/9/99 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 through 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.
- 4. In claim 1 (twice amended), line 11, applicant claims "a plurality of contact connectors...
- ... As disclosed in the specification, the invention comprises a single 4-pin connector 11 which presumably applicant is referring to, (aside from the first and second connectors 18, 28).

 Therefore, "a plurality of contact connectors" was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. "Plurality" is given no weight in the analysis below.

Application/Control Number: 08/876179 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 12, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Yumibe et al. ("Yumibe").
- 7. Regarding claim 1 (twice amended), the admitted prior art teaches a hard disk drive comprising:
 - a. A body;
 - b. A spindle motor 30 supported by the body;
 - c. A first connector 28 attached to the body;
- d. A first printed circuit board 46 attached to said body and connected to said spindle motor; and a plurality of contacts 48 mounted on said first printed circuit board;
 - e. A second printed circuit board 10 comprising:
- i. A second connector 18 engageable with the first connector of the disk assembly while the second printed circuit board is attached to the disk assembly; and
- f. A contact connector 40 comprising a plurality of terminals 42 attached to the second printed circuit board, and said contact connector of the second printed circuit board electrically engaging the contacts of the disk assembly to transfer signals between the second

Art Unit: 2833

printed circuit board and the spindle motor when the second connector is engaged with the first connector.

- 8. The admitted prior art does not teach that the contact connector automatically engages the contacts.
- 9. Yumibe teaches a connector for connecting the conductors on a first printed circuit board 30 to the conductors of a second printed circuit board 34. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the hard disk drive of the admitted prior art by replacing the connector 40 with the connector 10 as taught by Yumibe. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to optimize space utilization and minimize the space between the adjacent boards, as taught by Yumibe (see col. 1, lines 19-21, 40-45).
- 10. Per claims 2, 7, 12 (amended), the Yumibe terminals 42 are formed of an elastic, flexible, material.
- 11. Per claims 3, 8, (twice amended), the first printed circuit board of the admitted prior art is flexible.
- 12. Per claims 4, 10, (amended), 14 the Yumibe terminals 42 are P-shaped.
- 13. Per claims 6, 12, the admitted prior art teaches that the second printed circuit board 10 comprises a base and a second connector 18 attached to the base, and a plurality of terminals 42 attached to the base. As modified by Yumibe, by replacing the admitted prior art connector 40 with the Yumibe connector, the terminals would have been in automatically abutting contact with

Art Unit: 2833

the contacts of the disk assembly when the first connector was engaged with the second connector.

- 14. Per claim 15, in the admitted prior art, the Yumibe terminals are hook shaped.
- 15. Per claim 17, the admitted prior art first and second connectors are 14 pin connectors.
- 16. Regarding claim 18, the prior art teaches a "4 pin type of connector." Yumibe does not specifically teach a "4 pin type connector," rather Yumibe shows an 8 terminal configuration for connecting to a circuit board with 8 contact pads (see for example figure 6). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the 8 terminal connector of Yumibe into a 4 terminal connector, for example by halving the connector shown in figure 6. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to use the Yumibe device with the circuit board of the admitted prior art, which has four contact pads or traces.
- 17. Claims 5, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Yumibe as in claims 3, 7, and 12, and in further view of Schuder et al. ("Schuder").
- 18. The Yumibe terminals are arguably not "C-shaped." Schuder teaches a set of contact elements 12 or terminals where the terminals are C-shaped (see figures 2, 10). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the shape of the Yumibe terminals to be "C-shaped" as taught by Schuder. The suggestion or motivation

Application/Control Number: 08/876179 Page 6

Art Unit: 2833

for doing so would have been a matter of design choice depending on for example the stiffness and elasticity of the material used for the terminal.

- 19. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Yumibe as in claim 12, and in further view of Broschard, III et al. ("Broschard").
- 20. The Yumibe terminals are arguably not "V-shaped." Broschard teaches a set of contact elements 12 or terminals where the terminals are V-shaped (see figure 6). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the shape of the Yumibe terminals to be "V-shaped" as taught by Broschard. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been a matter of design choice depending on for example the stiffness and elasticity of the material used for the terminal.

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 through 8 and 10 through 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kamo et al. teaches a hard disk drive where the head disk assembly or cartridge 234 is "automatically" connected to driving device 235 by means of connectors 244, 245.

Art Unit: 2833

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (703) 306-4508.

September 20, 1999

rng

Paula Bradley Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800